UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United	States of America,)	Case No. 14 - 0 - 28	7 7D
	Plaintiff, v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXC UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIA	Commence
	V. Fugua Defendant(s).)		NOV 182019
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Trial Act from 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
	Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	d be lik	ely to result in a miscarriage of	justice.
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).			
	Failure to grant a continuance woultaking into account the exercise of			
	Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case com See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		•	
	Failure to grant a continuance would necessary for effective preparation, See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	taking		
, ,	With the consent of the defendant, disposition of criminal cases, the coparagraph and — based on the part the time limits for a preliminary he extending the 30-day time period for exclusions set forth above). See Fee	ourt sets ies' sho aring un or an inc	the preliminary hearing to the owing of good cause — finds good der Federal Rule of Criminal Prolictment under the Speedy Trial	date set forth in the first od cause for extending rocedure 5.1 and for Act (based on the
IT IS	SO ORDERED. (((8 19	ı	Kandis A Westmore United States Magistrate Judg	Almul ge
STIPU	JLATED: Attorney for Defendant		Assistant United States Attorn	ney